

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD.

SECOND APPEAL NO. 101 OF 1986

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

BHIKHIBEN ISHWARLAL

VERSUS

MANSUKHLAL BUDHARBHAI & OTHERS.

Appearance:

Mr. P.B.Majmudar, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. B.J.Shelat, Advocate for the respondents.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 25/06/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. At the joint request by learned counsel for the respective parties, this appeal is taken up for final hearing to-day.
2. The learned counsel for the respective parties state that the parties to the appeal have settled their disputes and have arrived at a settlement which is recorded in the consent terms which are placed on record.
3. The consent terms are taken on record. The same

have been signed by the appellant and the three respondents and by the respective counsel for the parties. The parties are present in Court, and are identified by the respective counsel and the parties admit these consent terms. In view of the consent terms, the judgment & decree impugned in the present appeal is modified, and is substituted by the decree in terms of the consent terms filed herein.

4. This appeal is accordingly disposed of with no orders as to cost.
